

Design of Stabilizing State Feedback for Delay Systems via Convex Optimization

E. Feron, V. Balakrishnan* and S. Boyd*
 Information Systems Laboratory
 Department of Electrical Engineering
 Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305

Abstract

For linear systems with delays, we define a new class of Lyapunov-like functionals that may be used to prove stability. We also show how we may design a stabilizing (delayed) state feedback for delay systems using these functionals and convex optimization techniques.

1 Introduction

We consider linear systems with delays, described by the state equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_0 x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x(t - \tau_i) + Bu(t), \quad (1)$$

where the state $x(t) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, the input $u(t) \in \mathbf{R}^p$, and $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots < \tau_m$ are the *delays* in the system. We assume that the full state of the system is available with a delay $\tau > 0$. Our objective is to design a constant, delayed state feedback $u(t) = -Kx(t - \tau)$ that stabilizes the system. We remark that proving stability of system (1) (with $u(t) = 0$) is in itself a hard problem. Our approach towards designing K combines a Lyapunov-like method with some recent advances in convex optimization.

Note that (1) is *not* a finite dimensional system, and therefore Lyapunov *functionals* rather than the more conventional Lyapunov *functions* are needed. In §2, we will describe one such functional, which we will call the Modified Lyapunov-Krasovskii (MLK) functional. We then show how

we may pose the problem of design of a stabilizing (delayed) state-feedback as a convex feasibility problem.

2 Stabilizing state feedback

With the delayed state feedback $u(t) = -Kx(t - \tau)$, the state equation is

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_0 x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x(t - \tau_i) - BKx(t - \tau). \quad (2)$$

In the sequel, we assume that $0 < \tau < \tau_1$; the case $\tau_1 \leq \tau$ may be dealt with similarly.

Motivated by the work of Krasovskii [4] (see also [6]), we propose a class of functionals for system (2), which we will refer to as Modified Lyapunov-Krasovskii (MLK) functionals:

$$V(x, t) = x(t)^T L_0 x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\tau_i}^{-\tau_i-1} x(t+s)^T L_i x(t+s) ds + \int_{-\tau}^0 x(t+s)^T L x(t+s) ds, \quad (3)$$

where L, L_0, \dots, L_m are symmetric positive definite matrices and $\tau_0 = \tau$. The derivative $\frac{d}{dt}V(x, t)$, computed using (2) is

$$2x(t)^T L_0 \begin{pmatrix} A_0 x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x(t - \tau_i) \\ -BKx(t - \tau) \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^m \begin{pmatrix} x(t - \tau_{i-1})^T L_i x(t - \tau_{i-1}) \\ -x(t - \tau_i)^T L_i x(t - \tau_i) \end{pmatrix} + \left(x(t)^T L x(t) - x(t - \tau)^T L x(t - \tau) \right).$$

This can be rewritten as $d/dt V(x, t) = y^T W y$,

*Research supported in part by NSF under ECS-85-52465, AFOSR under 89-0228, and Bell Communications Research.

where W and y^T are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} N & -L_0BK & L_0A_1 & \cdots & L_0A_m \\ -K^TB^TL_0 & L_1 - L & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_1^TL_0 & 0 & L_2 - L_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_m^TL_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -L_m \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$[x(t)^T, x(t - \tau)^T, x(t - \tau_1)^T, \dots, x(t - \tau_m)^T],$$

respectively, with $N = L_0A_0 + A_0^TL_0 + L$.

We then have:

If there exist L_0, L, L_1, \dots, L_m and K such that W as above is negative definite, then system (2) is stable.

The proof is along the lines of the one for Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals in reference [4].

We now show that finding L_0, L, L_1, \dots, L_m and K such that W as above is negative definite can be posed as a convex feasibility problem. Our manipulations are based on a recent result on the parametrization of state-feedback controllers [3].

We multiply every block entry of W on the left and on the right by L_0^{-1} and set $M_0 = L_0^{-1}$, $M_i = L_0^{-1}L_iL_0^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $M = L_0^{-1}LL_0^{-1}$ and $Y = KL_0^{-1}$, to obtain a new matrix X given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{N} & -BY & A_1M_0 & \cdots & A_mM_0 \\ -YB^T & M_1 - M & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ M_0A_1^T & 0 & M_2 - M_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_0A_m^T & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -M_m \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{N} = A_0M_0 + M_0A_0^T + M$.

We then have: $W < 0$ if and only if $X < 0$.

X is a linear function of M_0, M_1, \dots, M_m, M and Y , and therefore the set

$$\Psi = \{X \mid X < 0\}$$

is convex in these variables. Checking its non-emptiness can then be done via a convex feasibility program.

There exist several methods for solving this convex feasibility problem. In [6], Skorodinskii proposes the use of the ellipsoid algorithm [1]. There have been recent advances in convex programming which promise much faster algorithms [5, 2].

References

1. S. Boyd and C. Barratt. *Linear Controller Design: Limits of Performance*. Prentice-Hall, 1991.
2. S. Boyd and L. Elghaoui. Method of centers for minimizing generalized eigenvalues. *in preparation for special issue of LAA on Numerical Linear Algebra Methods in Control, Signals and Systems*, 1992.
3. J. C. Geromel, P. L. D. Peres, and J. Bernussou. On a convex parameter space method for linear control design of uncertain systems. *SIAM J. Control and Optimization*, 29(2):381–402, March 1991.
4. N. N. Krasovskii. Application of Lyapunov's second method for equations with time delay. *PMM*, 20(3):315–327, 1956.
5. Yu. E. Nesterov and A. S. Nemirovsky. *Interior point polynomial methods in convex programming: Theory and applications*. Lecture notes in mathematics. Springer Verlag, 1992.
6. V. I. Skorodinskii. Iterational method of construction of Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals for linear systems with delay. *Automation and Remote Control*, 51(9):1205–1212, 1990.